

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/620,650 | 07/16/2003 | Thomas J. Hayes | 47097-01079USC1 | 1477 | |
| 28763 | 7590 12/07/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BAKER BOTTS, LLP | | | CASTELLANO, STEPHEN J | | |
| | LLER PLAZA NY 10112-4498 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3781 | · | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ | |
|---|---|
| _ | - |
| - | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/620,650 | HAYES ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Stephen J. Castellano | 3781 | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Stephen J. Castellano | 3781 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2 | bliance with 37 CED 41 37 must be | filed within two month | ne of the date of | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | hara and an earlier along the confession of Citizen and Indian | will not be not easy to | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below | • • | duaina or aimplifyina | the iceues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | • | ine issues ioi | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | inplicate / unortaine in | (| | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will will will will will will will wi | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 74-97. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>51-73 and 98-118</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | • | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | Stephen J. Castella Primary Examiner | ano | | | | |
| | | Art Unit: 3781 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant objects to the inversion of the lid as unacceptable. The examiner has adequately responded to applicant's objection in the final action.